# JANE EDWARDS ELEMENTARY 1960 Jane Edwards Road Edisto Island, SC 29438 PK-8 Elementary School GRADES 122 Students ENROLLMENT Melissa Vandewiel 843-559-4171 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 4 14 67 48 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

3

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No
2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING


Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

81.6%


#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

**Elementary Schools with Students like Ours** 



**English/Language Arts** 



English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded

expectations

**Proficient** 

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

**Below Basic** 

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Tout	,	/ %	1	/ °`	/	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Med
9	h/Langua	•					00.0	V	
All Students	76	100.0	41.1	39.7	19.2	0.0	28.8	Yes	Yes
Gender	20	100.0	40.0	24.4	00.0	0.0	05.7		
Male Female	38	100.0	48.6 34.2	31.4 47.4	20.0 18.4	0.0	25.7 31.6		
Racial/Ethnic Group	30	100.0	34.2	47.4	10.4	0.0	31.0		
White	10	100.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	0.0	0.0	I/S	I/S
African-American	61	100.0	45.8	40.7	13.6	0.0	20.3	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	1 41 1						1411	., -	., -
Not disabled	69	100.0	36.4	43.9	19.7	0.0	30.3		
Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	76	100.0	41.1	39.7	19.2	0.0	28.8		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	74	100.0	42.3	38.0	19.7	0.0	28.2		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	61	100.0	43.3	38.3	18.3	0.0	26.7	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	15	100.0	30.8	46.2	23.1	0.0	38.5		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	76	100.0	32.9	45.2	19.2	2.7	30.1	Yes	Yes
Gender	Gender								
Male	38	100.0	31.4	42.9	25.7	0.0	40.0		
Female	38	100.0	34.2	47.4	13.2	5.3	21.1		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	10	100.0	11.1	22.2	55.6	11.1	0.0	I/S	I/S
African-American	61	100.0	35.6	50.8	11.9	1.7	22.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	69	100.0	27.3	50.0	19.7	3.0	31.8		
Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	76	100.0	32.9	45.2	19.2	2.7	30.1		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	74	100.0	32.4	45.1	19.7	2.8	31.0		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	61	100.0	35.0	45.0	16.7	3.3	26.7	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	15	100.0	23.1	46.2	30.8	0.0	46.2		

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

ACT PERFO	IRMANC	F BY GE	ADE LE	VFI			
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
	,	Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts			
Grade 3	13	100.0	38.5	7.7	46.2	7.7	53.8
Grade 4	11	100.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	N/A	20.0
Grade 5	19	100.0	38.9	61.1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	11	100.0	54.5	45.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	15	93.3	50.0	42.9	7.1	N/A	7.1
Grade 8	19	100.0	42.1	57.9	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	11	100.0	36.4	36.4	27.3	N/A	27.3
Grade 4	15	100.0	46.7	26.7	26.7	N/A	26.7
Grade 5	12	100.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	N/A	16.7
Grade 6	17	100.0	58.8	29.4	11.8	N/A	11.8
Grade 7	12	100.0	41.7	50.0	8.3	N/A	8.3
Grade 8	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Mathemat				
Grade 3	13	100.0	23.1	61.5	15.4	N/A	15.4
Grade 4	11	100.0	10.0	40.0	30.0	20.0	50.0
Grade 5	19	100.0	33.3	61.1	5.6	N/A	5.6
Grade 6	11	100.0	45.5	36.4	18.2	N/A	18.2
Grade 7	15	93.3	57.1	35.7	7.1	N/A	7.1
Grade 8	19	100.0	36.8	47.4	15.8	N/A	15.8
Grade 3	11	100.0	36.4	45.5	18.2	N/A	18.2
Grade 4	15	100.0	53.3	26.7	13.3	6.7	20.0
Grade 5	12	100.0	25.0	33.3	33.3	8.3	41.7
Grade 6	17	100.0	29.4	52.9	17.6	N/A	17.6
Grade 7	12	100.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	N/A	16.7
Grade 8	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 122)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	57.1%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	2.6%	N/A	3.6%	2.7%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	97.6% 0.0%	Up from 96.3%	96.3% 6.8%	96.4% 4.6%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%		5.3%	3.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.2%	Up from 6.8%	5.4%	13.5%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	3.3%	Down from 3.4%	8.0%	8.2%
Older than usual for grade	0.8%	Down from 17.9%	2.3%	0.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 17)	05.00/	D ( 40.00/	40.70/	54.40/
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	35.3% 58.8%	Down from 40.0% Down from 60.0%	48.7% 81.8%	51.4% 87.5%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	86.7% 10.0%	N/A	92.9% 3.2%	95.0% 0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	60.6% 94.4%	Up from 51.2% Down from 95.1%	83.4% 94.7%	86.7% 94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$35.943	Down 0.8%	\$40.045	\$40.760
Prof. development days/teacher	11.1 days	Up from 9.8 days	13.8 days	12.4 days
School		, ,	,	
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	11.3 to 1	Down from 12.7 to 1	17.2 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.3%	Down from 90.5%	89.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,023	Up 4.3%	\$6,702	\$6,044
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.0%	Up from 51.7%	64.0%	65.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Up from Poor	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% <b>N</b> o	No change No change	99.0% Yes	99.0% Yes
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
·		Our District	5	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	88.1%	9	2.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	87.8%	9	1.1%
		State Objectiv	e Met Sta	te Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		Yes
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Yes
**NOTE: The consideration and a constant				

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Jane Edwards Community School continues to make progress during the 2003-04 school year. Our students, staff, parents, and community work closely to implement our School Renewal Plan, recognizing our strengths and overcoming our obstacles.

Jane Edwards Community School strives to excel. We are addressing our challenges with research-based initiatives. Our school is implementing the Accelerated Schools Plus and Edison Schools models to improve our students' achievement. Jane Edwards Community School also partnered with Head Start to offer early learning experiences for 3 year-olds.

Our teachers provide hands-on Mathematics and inquiry-based Science instruction to promote students' critical thinking. We strive to improve achievement in English Language Arts through the implementation of Cunningham's Four Blocks, Reading Recovery, Accelerated Reader, and the Parent-Child Academy. Also, teachers continue to integrate technology into their classrooms.

The strong volunteer program has continued to positively impact our students. Also, our devoted faculty and staff provide motivating and challenging instruction for children.

Our school has certainly seen progress this year. However, we understand that on the road to excellence, we will encounter many obstacles. Together, with our community, we will continue to overcome our challenges and celebrate our successes.

Melissa L. VandeWiel, Principal

Kenny Driggers, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	15	21	13				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	95.2%	92.3%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	83.3%	84.6%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	73.3%	100.0%	76.9%				
*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and th	eir parents were in	ncluded.					